# Densities, Viscosities, and Conductivities of Mixtures of Selected Organic Cosolvents with the Lewis Basic Aluminum Chloride + 1-Methyl-3-ethylimidazolium Chloride Molten Salt

# Ronald L. Perry, Kevin M. Jones, William D. Scott, Qing Liao, and Charles L. Hussey\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677

The densities, kinematic viscosities, and specific conductances of mixtures of the organic cosolvents acetonitrile, benzene, and dichloromethane with the Lewis basic room-temperature chloroaluminate molten salt aluminum chloride + 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride (AlCl<sub>3</sub> + MeEtimCl) were determined at 25 °C. Cosolvents were added to melts containing 49.00 and 44.40 mol % (m/o) AlCl<sub>3</sub>. Polynomial equations were fitted to the results as a function of the mass fraction of the cosolvent. The addition of relatively small amounts of these cosolvents causes a significant decrease in viscosity and a large increase in the conductivity of basic melts.

#### Introduction

Mixtures of anhydrous aluminum chloride and the quaternary ammonium chloride salt 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride (MeEtimCl), which melts at about 85 °C, comprise a family of room-temperature molten salts with adjustable Lewis acidity. The physical and chemical properties of the  $AlCl_3$  + MeEtimCl system have been reviewed extensively (Carlin and Wilkes, 1994; Hussey, 1994; Osteryoung, 1986). The phase transitions, densities, electrical conductivities, and viscosities of a number of  $AlCl_3 + 1.3$ -dialkylimidazolium chloride mixtures, including those derived from MeEtimCl have been reported (Fannin et al., 1984). The  $AlCl_3 + MeEtimCl molten salt$ system has been widely used as an electrochemical solvent and has potential applications as an electrolyte for highenergy batteries and for the electroplating of aluminum and aluminum alloy films. It is also of interest as a solvent for the liquefaction of coal. However, the modest electrical conductivities and/or the high viscosities of the  $AlCl_3$  + MeEtimCl melt at room temperature are obstacles to these applications.

Several studies have been reported in which the addition of various organic liquids or "co-solvents" to room-temperature chloroaluminates was explored (Moy and Emmenegger, 1992; Papageorgiou and Emmenegger, 1993; Robinson et al., 1979; Zawodzinski and Osteryoung, 1989). It was found that the addition of small quantities of aromatic hydrocarbon cosolvents such as benzene (Robinson et al., 1979) or 1,2-dichlorobenzene (Papageorgiou and Emmenegger, 1993) to acidic melts resulted in mixtures with lower viscosities and higher conductivities than the neat molten salt. The origin of this effect is not completely understood, but it is generally believed that the cosolvent acts to decrease ion-pairing between the anionic and cationic constituents of the molten salt. Despite the many advantages to be gained by adding cosolvents to roomtemperature chloroaluminate melts, no systematic investigation of the influence of these additives on the viscosity and conductivity of these melts has been reported. In this paper, we report the densities, kinematic viscosities, and specific conductances of mixtures of the organic cosolvents acetonitrile ( $\epsilon_r$  (20 °C) = 37.5), benzene ( $\epsilon_r$  (20 °C) = 2.28), and dichloromethane ( $\epsilon_r$  (20 °C) = 9.08) with two different compositions of the Lewis basic  $AlCl_3 + MeEtimCl melt$ ,

one containing 49.00 mol % (m/o) AlCl<sub>3</sub> or  $x_2 = 0.4900$  and the other containing 44.40 m/o AlCl<sub>3</sub> or  $x_2 = 0.4440$ .

## **Experimental Section**

Materials. Aluminum chloride (Fluka, puriss) was sublimed three times under vacuum before use. MeEtimCl was synthesized and purified according to standard procedures (Wilkes et al., 1982). Acetonitrile (Aldrich, HPLC grade) was dried over 3A molecular sieves, distilled from CaH<sub>2</sub>, passed through a column of activated alumina, and stored over fresh 3A sieves before use. Benzene (Aldrich, HPLC grade) and dichloromethane (Aldrich, HPLC grade) were stored over 3A sieves before use. The  $AlCl_3$  + MeEtimCl melt reacts vigorously with atmospheric moisture. Therefore, it was necessary to prepare the melt and melt + cosolvent samples in dry nitrogen- or argon-filled gloveboxes. Samples were stored in the glovebox in tightly capped vials with Teflon-lined closures. Density, viscosity, and conductivity measurements were performed under conditions that precluded contact between the samples and the atmosphere, except where noted. The melt compositions reported herein are expressed as the mole fraction of  $AlCl_3$ ,  $x_2$ , in the  $AlCl_3 + MeEtimCl mixture$ . Cosolvent + melt mixtures are designated by the mass fraction,  $w_1$ , or mole fraction,  $x_1$ , of solvent in the cosolvent  $(1) + AlCl_3(2)$ + MeEtimCl (3) mixtures.

**Density.** Densities were measured by using a Mettler-Paar Model DMA 40 digital densimeter. This instrument was calibrated according to the manufacturer's recommendations with air and distilled water. The sample chamber of the instrument was maintained at  $(25.00 \pm 0.05)$  °C with circulating oil from an oil bath. The temperature of the oil bath was controlled with a modified Haake Model E3 thermoregulator. Samples were transported from the glovebox and introduced into the measuring capillary of the densimeter by means of glass syringes fitted with Luer stopcocks. Each data point was the average of not less than four readings. The estimated experimental uncertainty of these measurements was  $\pm 0.0001$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>.

**Viscosity.** Viscosity measurements were carried out in no. 150 and no. 200 Cannon-Fenske viscometers (Cannon Instrument Co., State College, PA). Some measurements were performed with the modified viscometer described in

Table 1. Comparison of AlCl3 + MeEtimCl Density,Viscosity, and Conductivity Data at 298.2 K

property	present work	literature <sup>a</sup>	% difference						
$x_2 = 0.4900$									
$\rho/(\text{g-cm}^{-3})$	1.2844	1.2902	-0.45						
$10^{2}\nu/(cm^{2}s^{-1})$	0.1399	0.1544	-9.39						
$\kappa/(S\cdot m^{-1})$	1.87	2.02	-7.43						
$x_2 = 0.4440$									
$\varrho/(\text{g-cm}^{-3})$	1.2713	1.2722	-0.07						
$10^{2}\nu/(cm^{2}s^{-1})$	0.2690	0.2760	-2.54						
$\kappa/(S\cdot m^{-1})$	1.10	1.14	-3.51						

<sup>a</sup> Fannin et al., 1984.

Table 2. Densities of Organic Liquid (1) + AlCl3 (2) +MeEtimCl (3) Mixtures at 298.2 K

$x_2^o = 0.4900$			$x_2 = 0.4440$			
$w_1^a$	$x_1$	$\varrho/(\text{g-cm}^{-3})$	$w_1$	$x_1$	$\varrho/(\text{g-cm}^{-3})$	
		CH	3CN			
0.000 00	0.000 00	1.2894	0.000 00	0.000 00	1.2713	
0.021 07	0.068 43	1.2730	$0.023\ 01$	0.074~70	1.2586	
0.059 04	$0.176\ 38$	1.2448	0.044 54	0.137~78	1.2373	
0.116 70	0.310~78	1.2064	$0.107\ 40$	0.292~01	1.1977	
$0.273\ 10$	$0.561\ 84$	1.1056	0.144~70	0.367 06	1.1766	
0.382 90	$0.679\ 25$	1.0411	0.249 50	$0.532\ 62$	1.1096	
		$C_6$	$H_6$			
0.000 00	0.000 00	1.2894	0.000 00	0.000 00	1.2713	
0.092 60	0.154~71	1.2448	$0.152\ 90$	$0.245\ 35$	1.2032	
$0.213\ 50$	$0.327\ 43$	1.1902	$0.248\ 80$	0.373~66	1.1624	
0.337 50	$0.477\ 43$	1.1378	0.350 00	0.492~36	1.1219	
0.362 60	$0.505\ 01$	1.1271	0.386 50	$0.531\ 57$	1.1066	
$0.371\ 80$	0.514 90	1.1242	0.462 70	$0.608\ 02$	1.0751	
0.432 90	0.577 89	1.1003				
		CH	$_{2}Cl_{2}$			
0.000 00	0.000 00	1.2894	0.000 00	0.000 00	1.2713	
0.105 10	0.162 30	1.2997	0.09452	$0.147\ 45$	1.2826	
0.202 40	0.295~09	1.3075	0.186 10	0.274~75	1.2923	
0.303 50	$0.418\ 21$	1.3147	$0.240\ 90$	$0.344\ 60$	1.2973	
0.367 30	$0.489\ 18$	1.3190	$0.405\ 00$	$0.530\ 02$	1.3111	
0.459 20	$0.583\ 46$	1.3236	$0.492\ 30$	$0.616\ 35$	1.3174	

<sup>*a*</sup>  $w_1$  is the mass fraction of cosolvent. <sup>*b*</sup>  $x_2$  is the mole fraction of AlCl<sub>3</sub> in the AlCl<sub>3</sub> + MeEtimCl mixture before the addition of cosolvent.

a previous publication from this laboratory (Sanders et al., 1986). This viscometer was filled and hermetically sealed in a glovebox, attached to a vertical turntable, and completely immersed in a water bath. Another modified viscometer used during this study was fabricated by attaching female 12/5 spherical joints to the open ends of a commercial viscometer. Vacuum stopcocks with matching male 12/5 spherical joints were mated to the viscometer and held in place with clamps. This modified viscometer was filled with sample and sealed in the glovebox. After removal from the glovebox, it was partially submerged in a temperature-regulated water bath to a level approximately 2 cm below the joints. The stopcocks were connected to small Drierite desiccant-filled canisters with rubber tubing. The viscometer was opened to the atmosphere through the dessicant when data were collected. There was no statistical difference in the results obtained with the different viscometers and methods. The viscometers were calibrated with acetonitrile, distilled water, ethanol (McCormick), 1-butanol (Baker, reagent grade), and freshly distilled ethylene glycol (Baker, reagent grade). Reference data for these compounds were taken from the Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 65th ed. Each data point was the average of no less than five runs. Efflux times were recorded to 0.01 s with a digital stopwatch. The temperature of the water bath was maintained at (25.00  $\pm$  0.05) °C with a Braun Model 1420 Thermomix immersion

Table 3. Kinematic Viscosities of Organic Liquid (1) +AlCl3 (2) + MeEtimCl (3) Mixtures at 298.2 K

$x_2^b = 0.4900$			$x_2 = 0.4440$			
$w_1^a$	$x_1$	$10^2 \nu / (cm^{2} s^{-1})$	$w_1$	$x_1$	$10^2 \nu / (cm^2 s^{-1})$	
		CH	3CN			
0.0000	0.0000	13.99	0.0000	0.0000	26.90	
0.0117	0.0388	12.59	0.0092	0.0308	23.31	
0.0211	0.0685	10.32	0.0169	0.0556	20.61	
0.0395	0.1231	8.47	0.0293	0.0938	16.89	
0.0492	0.1501	7.72	0.0445	0.1377	13.60	
0.0590	0.1763	6.77	0.0708	0.2071	9.80	
0.0990	0.2727	4.96	0.0909	0.2553	8.02	
0.1167	0.3108	4.18	0.1074	0.2920	6.81	
0.1938	0.4507	2.57	0.1241	0.3269	5.83	
0.2721	0.5606	1.82	0.1447	0.3671	4.58	
0.3039	0.5984	1.61	0.1724	0.4166	4.03	
0.3829	0.6793	1.32	0.2029	0.4660	3.35	
0.4524	0.7382	1.08	0.2495	0.5326	2.54	
			0.3223	0.6198	1.79	
			0.4000	0.6956	1.35	
		$C_6$	$H_6$			
0.0000	0.0000	13.99	0.0000	0.0000	26.90	
0.0206	0.0364	12.39	0.0318	0.0559	21.86	
0.0344	0.0601	11.53	0.0599	0.1030	18.19	
0.0493	0.0851	10.77	0.0906	0.1521	15.50	
0.0628	0.1073	10.15	0.1292	0.2109	12.53	
0.0791	0.1335	9.59	0.1510	0.2426	11.23	
0.0929	0.1552	9.11	0.1781	0.2807	9.95	
0.1059	0.1752	8.56	0.2114	0.3256	8.61	
0.1432	0.2306	7.54	0.2305	0.3505	7.86	
0.1700	0.2686	6.65	0.2609	0.3887	6.95	
0.2300	0.3488	5.38	0.3071	0.4439	5.69	
0.2850	0.4169	4.56	0.3300	0.4701	5.13	
0.3580	0.5000	3.68	0.3535	0.4962	4.79	
			0.4015	0.5472	4.37	
		CH	$_{2}Cl_{2}$			
0.0000	0.0000	13.99	0.0000	0.0000	26.90	
0.0164	0.0268	12.70	0.0222	0.0363	23.04	
0.0419	0.0673	11.03	0.0331	0.0537	21.18	
0.0821	0.1286	8.73	0.0608	0.0969	17.54	
0.1057	0.1632	7.85	0.0925	0.1445	14.25	
0.1416	0.2139	6.49	0.1289	0.1969	11.27	
0.1762	0.2608	5.57	0.1787	0.2650	8.60	
0.2143	0.3103	4.66	0.1817	0.2689	8.28	
0.2390	0.3413	4.27	0.2108	0.3068	7.19	
0.2600	0.3669	3.88	0.2403	0.3439	6.17	
0.2942	0.4074	3.36	0.2745	0.3853	5.15	
0.3220	0.4393	3.05	0.2994	0.4145	4.62	
0.3661	0.4879	2.57	0.3502	0.4717	3.70	
0.3990	0.5227	2.28	0.3987	0.5235	2.93	
0.4270	0.5514	2.07	0.4557	0.5811	2.31	

<sup>a</sup>  $w_1$  is the mass fraction of cosolvent. <sup>b</sup>  $x_2$  is the mole fraction of AlCl<sub>3</sub> in the AlCl<sub>3</sub> + MeEtimCl mixture before the addition of cosolvent.

controller. The estimated experimental uncertainty of these measurements was  $\pm 0.01 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

**Conductivity.** Conductivity data were obtained with a Yellow Springs Instrument Co. no. 3403 dip-type conductivity cell and a Stanford Research Systems Model SR720 LCR meter. A drive voltage of 100 mV was used in the C-R series mode for all measurements. The conductivity cell was equipped with platinized platinum-iridium electrodes and had a nominal cell constant of 100 m<sup>-1</sup>. It could be sealed airtight into a no. 15 ACE-Thred adapter tube (ACE Glass) with a Teflon bushing and Viton O-ring; the plain end of this tube had been closed previously by glass blowing. The cell was filled and sealed in a glovebox and then equilibrated in the water bath described above prior to resistance measurements. The cell resistances were found to vary as much as 35% over the frequency range extending from 100 Hz to 10 kHz. However, plots of cell resistance versus the inverse square root of the frequency were linear, and the intercepts of such plots were used to

Table 4. Conductivities of Organic Liquid (1) + AlCl<sub>3</sub> (2) + MeEtimCl (3) at 298.2 K

$x_2^b = 0.4900$		$x_2 = 0.4440$					
w <sub>1</sub> a	$x_1$	$\kappa/(S\cdot m^{-1})$	w_1	$x_1$	$\kappa/(S\cdot m^{-1})$		
CH <sub>3</sub> CN							
0.000 00	$0.000\ 00$	1.87	0.000 00	$0.000\ 00$	1.10		
0.011 63	$0.038\ 60$	2.00	$0.021\ 11$	0.068 83	1.32		
$0.021\ 48$	0.069 70	2.24	$0.059\ 87$	$0.179\ 19$	1.63		
0.064 43	$0.190\ 32$	2.96	$0.106\ 38$	$0.289\ 81$	2.40		
0.090 63	$0.253\ 80$	3.40	$0.141\ 33$	0.360 69	2.95		
$0.120\ 54$	$0.318\ 70$	3.89	$0.180\ 80$	$0.430\ 70$	3.43		
$0.152\ 06$	0.379~67	4.39	$0.220\ 17$	$0.491\ 82$	3.99		
$0.181\ 42$	$0.430\ 66$	4.81	0.259~66	$0.545\ 93$	4.59		
$0.209\ 42$	$0.474\ 81$	5.24	$0.301\ 93$	$0.597\ 21$	5.23		
$0.240\ 34$	$0.519\ 18$	5.65	0.339 34	0.637~77	5.67		
$0.267\ 31$	$0.554\ 60$	5.98	$0.377\ 38$	$0.675\ 08$	6.15		
$0.300\ 75$	$0.594\ 80$	6.20	$0.421\ 62$	$0.714\ 19$	6.39		
0.329 42	0.626 39	6.34	$0.460\ 56$	0.745 33	6.93		
		C <sub>6</sub>	$H_6$				
$0.000\ 00$	$0.000\ 00$	1.87	0.000 00	0.000 00	1.10		
$0.015\ 98$	$0.028\ 29$	1.88	$0.025\ 75$	$0.045\ 44$	1.16		
$0.030\ 34$	$0.053\ 14$	1.90	$0.051\ 48$	0.089 06	1.30		
$0.061\ 86$	$0.105\ 75$	2.14	$0.080\ 11$	$0.135\ 59$	1.35		
0.091~64	$0.153\ 20$	2.25	$0.113\ 80$	$0.187\ 86$	1.48		
$0.122\ 62$	$0.200\ 41$	2.34	$0.140\ 28$	$0.227\ 15$	1.56		
$0.150\ 78$	$0.241\ 52$	2.47	0.170~78	$0.270\ 59$	1.68		
$0.180\ 21$	$0.282\ 77$	2.56	$0.200\ 49$	$0.311\ 15$	1.74		
$0.212\ 28$	$0.325\ 83$	2.60	$0.230\ 45$	$0.350\ 40$	1.82		
$0.242\ 18$	0.364 33	2.71	0.261~75	0.38974	1.89		
0.269 89	$0.398\ 66$	2.79	$0.290\ 51$	$0.424\ 47$	1.94		
$0.302\ 32$	$0.437\ 30$	2.81	$0.332\ 18$	$0.472\ 57$	2.04		
0.329 95	0.468 97	2.85					
		CH	$_2Cl_2$				
0.000 00	0.000 00	1.87	0.000 00	0.000 00	1.10		
0.015 04	0.024 57	1.88	0.025 96	0.042 30	1.21		
0.030 23	0.048 90	1.97	0.051 27	0.082 19	1.45		
0.062 73	0.099 43	2.27	0.083 19	0.130 69	1.63		
0.090 36	0.140 79	2.38	0.113 82	0.175 46	1.87		
0.134 38	0.203 89	2.56	0.140 85	0.213 61	2.09		
0.149 53	0.224 84	2.66	0.169 32	0.252 46	2.18		
0.182 89	0.269 67	2.89	0.202 23	0.295 78	2.47		
0.210 24	0.305 14	3.02	0.233 01	0.334 81	2.64		
0.239 36	0.341 71	3.06	0.262 01	0.370 37	2.74		
0.268 83	0.377 54	3.16	0.290 46	0.404 15	2.82		
0.301.35	0.415 73	3.30	0.320.25	0.438 39	2.97		
0.330 92	0.449 31	3.42	0.349 96	0.471 45	3.03		

<sup>*a*</sup>  $w_1$  is the mass fraction of cosolvent. <sup>*b*</sup>  $x_2$  is the mole fraction of AlCl<sub>3</sub> in the AlCl<sub>3</sub> + MeEtimCl mixture before the addition of cosolvent.

obtain the cell resistance at infinite frequency (Braunstein and Robbins, 1971). The specific conductances of the samples were calculated from these resistances and the cell constant. Measurements were performed at 0.10, 0.12, 1.0, and 10 kHz. The conductivity cell was calibrated with 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, 0.10, 0.15, and 0.20 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> aqueous KCl solutions according to IUPAC recommended procedures (Spiro, 1986). The conductivities of these solutions were calculated from the Chiu–Fuoss equation (Chiu and Fuoss, 1968). Each data point was the average of no fewer than 30 individual readings. Given the appreciable frequency dependence of the cell resistance, the experimental uncertainty of these measurements was estimated to be approximately  $\pm 0.01$  S m<sup>-1</sup>.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The experimental densities,  $\rho$ , kinematic viscosities,  $\nu$ , and specific conductances,  $\kappa$ , of the pure AlCl<sub>3</sub> + MeEtimCl melt measured in this study at 25 °C are compared to literature values (Fannin et al., 1984) in Table 1. The present results are in reasonable agreement with previously published values; with the exception of the  $\nu$  and  $\kappa$ values for  $x_2 = 0.4900$ , which differ by 9.4% and 7.4%,



**Figure 1.** Variation of the density,  $\rho$ , of the  $x_2 = 0.4900$  AlCl<sub>3</sub>(2) + MeEtimCl (3) melt with the mass fraction,  $w_1$ , of cosolvent (1): ( $\Box$ ) acetonitrile, ( $\diamond$ ) benzene, ( $\times$ ) dichloromethane. The solid lines were calculated with eq 1 and the fitted parameters in Table 5.



**Figure 2.** Variation of the density,  $\rho$ , of the  $x_2 = 0.4440 \text{ AlCl}_3(2) + \text{MeEtimCl}(3)$  melt with the mass fraction,  $w_1$ , of cosolvent (1): ( $\Box$ ) acetonitrile, ( $\diamondsuit$ ) benzene, ( $\times$ ) dichloromethane. The solid lines were calculated with eq 1 and the fitted parameters in Table 5.

respectively. The factors that lead to the observed differences are not known; however, they may simply reflect slight differences in  $x_2$ , which is reported to two significant figures in the literature ((Fannin et al., 1984), but known to no less than four significant figures in the present study.

Experimental  $\rho$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $\kappa$  results for cosolvent + AlCl<sub>3</sub> + MeEtimCl mixtures are listed in Tables 2–4, respectively. The data in these tables are grouped according to the  $x_2$  of the AlCl<sub>3</sub> + MeEtimCl melt *before* the addition of cosolvent.

Linear polynomial expressions of the form

$$\varrho/(\mathbf{gcm}^{-3}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i (w_1)^i \tag{1}$$

were fitted to the density data using linear regression techniques. The adjustable parameters resulting from these fits are collected in Table 5. Plots of  $\varrho$  versus  $w_1$  are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The solid lines in these figures were calculated from eq 1 and the fitted parameters listed in Table 5. In all cases, the squares of the correlation

## 618 Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, Vol. 40, No. 3, 1995

system	a_0	<i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	$a_2$	$a_3$	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}/\mathbf{x}}$	$R^2$	
	I	Equation 1 (Dens	sity)				
		$x_2 = 0.4900$					
$CH_{3}CN(1) + AlCl_{3}(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.2886	-0.7352	0.2333		$9.13 imes10^{-4}$	0.9999	
$C_{6}H_{6}(1) + AlCl_{3}(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.2894	-0.4929	0.1290		$3.08  imes 10^{-4}$	1.0000	
$CH_2Cl_2(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.2895	0.1017	-0.0594		$2.02 imes10^{-4}$	0.9998	
		$x_2 = 0.4440$					
$CH_{3}CN(1) + AlCl_{3}(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.2715	-0.7015	0.2167		$2.98 imes10^{-3}$	0.9985	
$C_6H_6(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.2710	-0.4494	0.0591		$8.16 imes10^{-4}$	0.9999	
$CH_2Cl_2(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl (3)$	1.2714	0.1224	-0.0593		$2.00 imes10^{-4}$	0.9999	
	Equati	on 2 (Kinematic	Viscosity)				
		$x_2 = 0.4900$					
$CH_3CN(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	2.6355	-13.2773	27.9522	-24.5482	$2.62 imes10^{-2}$	0.9994	
$C_6H_6(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	2.6158	-4.7257	2.9857		$1.24 imes10^{-2}$	0.9992	
$CH_2Cl_2(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	2.6399	-6.0668	5.2790	-3.6764	$6.28 imes10^{-3}$	0.9999	
		$x_2 = 0.4440$					
$CH_{3}CN(1) + AlCl_{3}(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	3.2865	-16.4191	37.2027	-37.2195	$2.50 imes10^{-2}$	0.9994	
$C_6H_6(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	3.2841	-6.3933	4.5223		$1.79 imes10^{-2}$	0.9992	
$CH_2Cl_2(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	3.2968	-7.5654	7.0920	-5.1331	$7.59 imes10^{-3}$	0.9999	
Equation 3 (Specific Conductance)							
		$x_2 = 0.4900$					
$CH_3CN(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.9017	-2.6105	33.8211	-26.9605	$7.19 imes10^{-2}$	0.9985	
$C_6H_6(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.8642	-1.1008	10.6796	-10.0688	$2.64 imes10^{-2}$	0.9960	
$CH_2Cl_2(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.8600	-0.8695	10.9811	-8.2625	$4.61 imes10^{-2}$	0.9 <b>9</b> 47	
$x_2 = 0.4440$							
$CH_{3}CN(1) + AlCl_{3}(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.1466	-3.5427	27.4500	-14.2021	$1.04  imes 10^{-1}$	0.9980	
$C_{6}H_{6}(1) + AlCl_{3}(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.1003	-0.4580	6.3564	-4.7859	$1.79 imes10^{-2}$	0.9976	
$CH_2Cl_2(1) + AlCl_3(2) + MeEtimCl(3)$	1.1046	-1.6183	16.1538	-13.2813	$3.79 imes10^{-2}$	0. <b>99</b> 76	

#### Table 5. Fitted Parameters for Eqs 1-3



**Figure 3.** Variation of the kinematic viscosity,  $\nu$ , of the  $x_2 = 0.4900 \text{ AlCl}_3(2) + \text{MeEtimCl}(3)$  melt with the mass fraction,  $w_1$ , of cosolvent (1): ( $\Box$ ) acetonitrile, ( $\diamondsuit$ ) benzene, ( $\times$ ) dichloromethane. The solid lines were calculated with eq 2 and the fitted parameters in Table 5.

coefficients,  $R^2$ , in Table 5 indicate that eq 1 provides an excellent representation of the experimental density data.

Polynomial expressions of the form

$$\ln(10^2 \nu / (\mathrm{cm}^2 \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1})) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (w_1)^i$$
 (2)

were fitted to the experimental viscosity data given in Table 3. The adjustable parameters resulting from these fits are given in Table 5. Plots of v versus  $w_1$  are shown in Figures 3 and 4; the solid lines in these plots were calculated from eq 2 and the  $a_i$  values given in Table 5. These plots and the values of  $R^2$  in Table 5 indicate that eq 2 provides an excellent representation of the experimental viscosity data.



**Figure 4.** Variation of the kinematic viscosity,  $\nu$ , of the  $x_2 = 0.4440$  AlCl<sub>3</sub>(2) + MeEtimCl (3) melt with the mass fraction,  $w_1$ , of cosolvent (1): ( $\Box$ ) acetonitrile, ( $\diamondsuit$ ) benzene, ( $\times$ ) dichloromethane. The solid lines were calculated with eq 2 and the fitted parameters in Table 5.

A number of different correlating expressions for representing the  $w_1$  dependence of  $\kappa$  were investigated. Polynomials of the type

k

$$f/(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{m}^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i (w_1)^{i/2}$$
(3)

were found to provide a good fit to the experimental results. The adjustable parameters and  $R^2$  values resulting from these fits are collected in Table 5. The solid lines in Figures 5 and 6 were calculated by using eq 3 and the appropriate parameters in this table.

Examination of Figures 3-6 indicates that the addition of cosolvents to basic  $AlCl_3 + MeEtimCl$  results in consid-



**Figure 5.** Variation of the specific conductance,  $\kappa$ , of the  $x_2 =$  $0.4900 \operatorname{AlCl}_{3}(2) + \operatorname{MeEtimCl}(3)$  melt with the mass fraction,  $w_{1}$ , of cosolvent (1): ( $\Box$ ) acetonitrile, ( $\diamondsuit$ ) benzene, ( $\times$ ) dichloromethane. The solid lines were calculated with eq 3 and the fitted parameters in Table 5.



**Figure 6.** Variation of the specific conductance,  $\kappa$ , of the  $x_2 =$  $0.4440 \operatorname{AlCl}_{3}(2) + \operatorname{MeEtimCl}(3)$  melt with the mass fraction,  $w_{1}$ , of cosolvent (1): ( $\Box$ ) acetonitrile, ( $\Diamond$ ) benzene, ( $\times$ ) dichloromethane. The solid lines were calculated with eq 3 and the fitted parameters in Table 5.

erable improvements in both the viscosity and conductivity of this molten salt. For example, the addition of 12 mass % acetonitrile to the  $x_2 = 0.4900$  melt causes the viscosity to decrease by about 70% and the conductivity to double. Furthermore, the magnitude of these improvements parallels the dielectric constant of the cosolvent, with the greatest effect seen for acetonitrile ( $\epsilon_r$  (20 °C) = 37.5) and the least effect seen for benzene ( $\epsilon_r$  (20 °C) = 2.28). This result lends further credence to the supposition that the organic cosolvents "solvate" the constituent ions of the molten salt, resulting in a decrease in the aggregation of these ions.

# Acknowledgment

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Dr. John S. Wilkes for the use of the facilities of the Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory at the USAF Academy to conduct density measurements.

## **Literature Cited**

- Braunstein, J; Robbins, G. D. Electrolytic Conductance Measurements
- and Capacitive Balance. J. Chem. Educ. **1971**, 48, 52-59. Carlin, R. T.; Wilkes, J. S. Chemistry and Speciation in Room-Temperature Chloroaluminate Molten Salts. In *Chemistry of Non*aqueous Solutions: Current Progress; Mamantov, G. M., Popov, A. I., Eds.; VCH: New York, 1994; pp 277-306. Chiu, Y-C.; Fuoss, R. M. Conductance of the Alkali Halides. XII.
- Sodium and Potassium Chlorides in Water at 25°. J. Phys. Chem. 1968, 72, 4123-4129.
- Fannin, A. A., Jr.; Floreani, D. A.; King, L. A.; Landers, J. S.; Piersma, B. J.; Stech, D. J.; Vaughn, R. L.; Wilkes, J. S.; and Williams, J. L. Properties of 1,2-Dialkylimidazolium Chloride-Aluminum Chloride Ionic Liquids. 2. Phase Transitions, Densities, Electrical Conductivities, and Viscosities. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 2614-2621.
- Hussey, C. L. The Electrochemistry of Room-Temperature Haloaluminate Molten Salts. In Chemistry of Nonaqueous Solutions: Current Progress; Mamantov, G. M., Popov, A. I., Eds.; VCH: New York, 1994; pp 227-275.
- Moy, R.; Emmenegger, F.-P. Co-Solvents for Chloroaluminate Electrolytes. Electrochim. Acta 1992, 37, 1061-1068.
- Osteryoung, R. A. Organic Chloroaluminate Ambient Temperature Molten Salts. In Molten Salt Chemistry: An Introduction and Selected Applications; Mamantov, G., Marassi, R., Eds.; NATO ASI Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences; Reidel: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1986; Vol. 202, pp 329-364. Papageorgiou, N.; Emmenegger, F.-P. The Effect of Cosolvents and
- Additives on the Electrochemical Properties of [(Me)<sub>3</sub>PhN][Al<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>7</sub>] Melts. Electrochim. Acta 1993, 38, 245-252.
- Robinson, J.; Bugle, R. C.; Chum, H. L.; Koran, D.; Osteryoung, R. A <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Studies of Aluminum Halide-Alkylpyridinium Halide Molten Salts and Their Benzene Mixtures. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3776-3779. Sanders, J. R.; Ward, E. H.; Hussey, C. L. Aluminum Bromide-1-
- Methyl-3-ethylimidazolium Bromide Ionic Liquids. I. Densities, Viscosities, Electrical Conductivities, and Phase Transitions. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1986, 133, 325-328, 1526.
- Spiro, M. Conductance and Transference Determinations. In Physical Methods of Chemistry, 2nd ed.; Rossiter, B. W., Hamilton, J. F., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1986; Vol. II, Chapter 8. Wilkes, J. S.; Levisky, J. A.; Wilson, R. A.; Hussey, C. L. Dialkylimi-
- dazolium Chloroaluminate Melts: A New Class of Room-Temperature Ionic Liquids for Electrochemistry, Spectroscopy, and Syn-
- thesis. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 1263-1264. Zawodzinski, T. A., Jr.; Osteryoung, R. A. Donor-Acceptor Properties of Ambient-Temperature Chloroaluminate Melts. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 1710-1715.

Received for review September 28, 1994. Accepted February 16, 1995.<sup> $\circ$ </sup> Financial support for this work was provided by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. CHE-9411165.

#### JE940206D

<sup>®</sup> Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, April 1, 1995.